Michigan Deptartment of Treasury 496 (2-04) Auditing Procedures Report

Local Governme	ent Type Towns	hip [Village	✓Other	Local Governm Sault Ste.		ısing Comm	ission	1	ounty Chippev	va
Audit Date Opinion Date Date Accountant Report Submitted to State: 9/15/04											
accordance	with the tements	Staten	nents of	the Govern	nmental Accou	unting Stand	and rendered lards Board (0 an by the Michi	GASB) and	the Unifo	rm Rend	ents prepared orting Format
		d with t	he <i>Bulle</i> i	tin for the Au	udits of Local I	Units of Gove	ernment in Mici	hinan as revi	has		
					d to practice in			ngan ao rovi	ocu.		
	firm the	ollowin	g. "Yes"			-	financial state	ments, includ	ling the n	otes, or i	n the report of
You must che	ck the a	plicabl	e box for	r each item t	pelow.						
☐ Yes 🗸] No	l. Cert	ain comp	oonent units	/funds/agencie	es of the loca	al unit are exclu	ided from the	e financia	stateme	ents.
Yes ✓] No 2	2. Thei 275	e are ad of 1980)	ccumulated .	deficits in one	e or more of	this unit's unr	eserved fund	d balance	s/retaine	d earnings (P.A
☐ Yes 🗸] No	3. Ther ame	e are in nded).	stances of	non-compliand	ce with the	Uniform Accou	unting and E	Sudgeting	Act (P.A	A. 2 of 1968, a
Yes ✓] No 4	l. The requ	local un irements	nit has viola s, or an orde	ted the condi	itions of eith r the Emerge	ner an order is ency Municipal	ssued under Loan Act.	the Mun	icipal Fir	nance Act or i
Yes √] No	i. The	local un mended	it holds dep [MCL 129.9	osits/investme 1], or P.A. 55	ents which d of 1982, as a	lo not comply amended [MCL	with statutor . 38.1132]).	y require	ments. (F	P.A. 20 of 1940
Yes ✓] No 6	. The	local unit	t has been d	lelinquent in di	listributing ta:	x revenues tha	t were collec	ted for an	other tax	king unit.
Yes 🗸] No 7	'. pens	ion bene	efits (normal	costs) in the	current yea	uirement (Artic r. If the plan is no contributior	more than	100% fur	ded and	ent year earne the overfundin r).
Yes ✓] No - 8	The (MC	local un L 129.24	it uses cred	lit cards and	has not add	opted an appli	cable policy	as requir	ed by P	.A. 266 of 199
Yes ✓] No 9	. The	local unit	t has not add	opted an inves	stment policy	as required by	P.A. 196 of	1997 (MC	CL 129.9	5).
We have end	losed th	e follo	wing:				******	Enclosed		Be varded	Not Required
The letter of o	commen	ts and r	ecomme	endations.				77774			✓
Reports on in	dividual	federal	financial	l assistance	programs (pro	ogram audits).	√			
Single Audit F	Reports	ASLGU	J).					✓	*****	·	
Certified Public A		•	•	ny, PLC			4717				
Street Address Po Box 82							city ron Mountaiı	n	State MI	ZIP. 498	301
Accountant Signa	ature		20	, clA	2				Date 9-	150	4

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report	3
General Purpose Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet	5
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Retained Earnings	6
Combining Statement of Cash Flows	7
Notes to Financial Statements	8
Supplemental:	
Financial Data Schedule	17-24
Federal Audit Reports	27



ANDERSON, TACKMAN & COMPANY, P.L.C.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

A Regional Firm with Offices in Michigan and Wisconsin

Principals - Iron Mountain: L. Robert Schaut, CPA David J. Johnson, CPA Shane M. Ellison, CPA Member of: Private Companies Practice Section American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Commissioners Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission Sault Ste Marie, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying general purpose financial statements of Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission ("Commission") as of and for the year ended March 31, 2004, as listed in the table of contents. These general purpose financial statements are the responsibility of the Board of Commissioners and management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these general purpose financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in "Government Auditing Standards", issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the general purpose financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the general purpose financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the general purpose financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission as of March 31, 2004, and the results of its operations and cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with "Government Auditing Standards", we have also issued our report dated June 22, 2004, on our consideration of Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with "Government Auditing Standards" and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the general purpose financial statements taken as a whole. The Financial Data Schedule listed in the table of contents and the accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the general purpose financial statements of Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the general purpose financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly presented in all material respects in relation to the general purpose financial statements taken as a whole.

ANDERSON, TACKMAN & COMPANY, P.L.C. Certified Public Accountants

Iron Mountain, Michigan June 22, 2004

BALANCE SHEET

March 31, 2004

ASSETS

ASSE1S	
CURRENT ASSETS:	
Cash and equivalents	\$ 588,098
Accounts receivable	43,001
Investments	588,922
Prepaid expenses	11,995
Interprogram due from	20,965
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	1,252,981
FIXED ASSETS:	
Land and improvements	2,906,332
Buildings	12,249,882
Equipment	441,219
Construction-in-progress	18,508
	15,615,941
Less accumulated depreciation	(9,003,766)
•	
NET FIXED ASSETS	6,612,175
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 7,865,156
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	
LIABILITIES:	
Accounts payable	\$ 30,842
Accrued liabilities	154,149
Interprogram due to	20,965
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	205,956
OTHER LIABILITIES	26,634
TOTAL LIABILITIES	232,590
EQUITY:	
Contributed capital - net of accumulated depreciation	5,288,586
Retained earnings - unreserved	2,343,980
TOTAL EQUITY	7,632,566
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$ 7,865,156

The accompanying notes to financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN RETAINED EARNINGS

For the Year Ended March 31, 2004

OPERATING REVENUES:	
Tenant revenue	\$ 637,479
Other operating revenues	\$ 61,435
Program grants-subsidies	1,081,592
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	1,780,506
OPERATING EXPENSES:	
Administration	330,357
Tenant services	30,347
Utilities	223,447
Maintenance	311,482
General	100,319
Housing assistance payments	154,901
Depreciation	591,344
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	1,742,197
OPERATING INCOME	38,309
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSES):	
Other expense	(27,133)
Gain on sale of fixed assets	100
Interest income	19,422
Other income	75
TOTAL OTHER INCOME (EXPENSES)	(7,536)
NET INCOME	30,773
ADD:	
Depreciation on assets acquired with contributed capital	447,620
Section 8 equity adjustment	556
RETAINED EARNINGS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	1,865,031
RETAINED EARNINGS, END OF PERIOD	\$2,343,980

The accompanying notes to financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

<u>SAULT STE MARIE HOUSING COMMISSION</u> <u>-ENTERPRISE FUND</u>

COMBINING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

For the Year Ended March 31, 2004

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	
Net income	\$ 30,773
Adjustments to reconcile operating income	Ψ 50,775
to net cash provided from operating activities:	
Depreciation	591,344
(Gain) on sale of fixed assets	(100)
Change in assets and liabilities:	(100)
(Increase) Decrease:	
Accounts receivable	21,812
Prepaid expenses	(3,528)
Other assets	31,504
Increase (Decrease):	2 2,0 0 1
Accounts payable	(40,746)
Accrued liabilities	(2,914)
Other liabilities	(26,678)
	(= 3,11-2)
NET CASH PROVIDED FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES	601,467
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:	
Proceeds from sale of fixed assets	100
Purchase of fixed assets	(529,726)
Purchase of investments	(77,928)
NET CASH (USED) BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(607,554)
NET INCREASE IN CASH	(6,087)
CASH AND EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF YEAR	594,185
CASH AND EQUIVALENTS, END OF YEAR	\$588,098

The accompanying notes to financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

March 31, 2004

NOTE A - NATURE OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission (Commission) was formed in 1961 under the criteria established for low income housing programs by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. The Commission operates under a board of commissioners appointed by the City Council.

It is the Commissions policy to apply accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America to present fairly its financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. The significant accounting policies utilized by the Commission are described below:

Reporting Entity- In evaluating how to define the Commission, for financial reporting purposes, management has considered all potential component units. The decision to include a potential component unit in the reporting entity was made by applying the criteria set forth in GAAP. The basic -but not the only criterion for including a potential component unit within the reporting entity is the governing body's ability to exercise oversight responsibility. The most significant manifestation of this ability is financial interdependency. Other manifestations of the ability to exercise oversight responsibility include, but are not limited to, the selection of governing authority, the designation of management, the ability to significantly influence operations, and accountability for fiscal matters. A second criterion used in evaluating potential component units is the scope of public service. Application of this criterion involves considering whether the activity benefits the Commission and/or it's constituents, or whether the activity is conducted within the geographic boundaries of the Commission and is generally available to it's constituents. A third criterion used to evaluate potential component units for inclusion or exclusion from the reporting entity is the existence of special financing relationships, regardless of whether the Commission is able to exercise oversight responsibilities.

Based upon the application of these criteria, the general purpose financial statements of the Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission contain all the funds and account groups controlled by the Commission's Board of Commissioners as no other entity meets the criteria to be considered a component unit of the Commission nor is the Commission a component unit of another entity.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

March 31, 2004 (Continued)

NOTE A - NATURE OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

B. <u>Fund Accounting</u> - The Commission uses funds and account groups to report on its financial position and the results of its operations. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain Commission functions or activities.

A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. An account group, on the other hand, is a financial reporting device designed to provide accountability for certain assets and liabilities that are not recorded in the funds because they do not directly affect net expendable available financial resources.

The following fund is used by the Commission:

Proprietary fund

Enterprise fund. To account for operations (1) that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises, in which the intent of the governing body is that the costs (expenses, including depreciation) of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges or (2) when the governing body has decided that periodic determination of revenues earned, expenses incurred, and/or net income is appropriate for capital maintenance, public policy, management control, accountability, or other purposes.

The Commission elects to apply FASB pronouncements issued on or after November 30, 1989 unless they conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncements.

- C. <u>Basis of Accounting</u> The accrual basis of accounting is used by the proprietary fund. Under this method, revenues are recorded when earned. Expenditures are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred.
- D. <u>Budgets</u> Budgets are adopted on a basis prescribed or permitted by the Department of Housing and Urban Development. All annual appropriations lapse at fiscal year end. The Commission follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary date reflected in the financial statements:

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

March 31, 2004 (Continued)

NOTE A - NATURE OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

D. <u>Budgets</u> (Continued)

- 1. The Director submits to the Board a proposed operating budget for the fiscal year commencing on April 1st. The operating budget includes proposed expenses and the means of financing them. Prior to March 31st, the budget is legally adopted by Board resolution.
- 2. Formal budgetary integration is employed as a management control device during the year.
- 3. The budget has been amended. Supplemental appropriations were made during the year with the last one approved prior to March 31st.
- E. <u>Cash and Equivalents</u> Cash and equivalents consist of cash in checking and saving accounts and cash on hand. For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the Commission considers all short-term debt securities purchased with a maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.
- F. <u>Investments</u> Investments are stated at fair market value.
- G. <u>Prepaid Expenditures</u> Prepaid expenditures, such as insurance premiums, which are expected to be written off within the next fiscal year are included in net current assets. Reported expenditures are equally offset by a fund balance reserve which indicates they do not constitute "available spendable resources" even though they are a component of net current assets.
- H. <u>Fixed Assets</u> Fixed assets are stated at cost.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend asset lives are not capitalized. Improvements are capitalized.

Depreciation is recorded in the proprietary fund using the straight-line method over the following years:

Building & improvements
Equipment

10-40 years 5-10 years

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

March 31, 2004 (Continued)

NOTE A - NATURE OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

- I. <u>Interprogram Receivables and Payables</u> The current portion of lending/borrowing arrangements between programs are identified as "due to/from other programs". The non-current portion of outstanding balances between programs are reported as "transfers to/from other programs".
- J. <u>Compensated Absences</u> Compensated absences are absences for which employees will be paid, such as vacation and sick leave. A liability for compensated absences that are attributable to services already rendered and that are not contingent on a specific event that is outside the control of the government and its employees is accrued as employees earn the rights to the benefits. Compensated absences that relate to future services or that are contingent on a specific event that is outside the control of the government and its employees are accounted for in the period in which such services are rendered or such events take place. Compensated absences that are expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources are reported as an expenditure and a liability.
- K. <u>Equity</u> The retained earnings unreserved represents the amounts available for budgeting future operations. The financial statements include the Proprietary Fund with a cumulative fund balance at March 31, 2004 of \$2,343,980.

The contributed capital represents the contributions which were contributed to the Commission. They were recorded at their fair market values at the time of the contribution. The amounts are summarized as follows:

Balance, April 1, 2003 \$ 5,736,206

Deduct: Depreciation on fixed assets
acquired with contributed capital (447,620)

BALANCE, MARCH 31, 2004

\$_5,288,586

NOTE B - CASH & INVESTMENTS - CREDIT RISK

Petty Cash – The Commission maintains a petty cash fund in the amount of \$150.

<u>Deposits</u> - At year-end, the carrying amount of the Commission's deposits was \$587,948 and the bank balance was \$611,881. The Commission held deposits in excess of FDIC insurance in the amount of \$15.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

March 31, 2004 (Continued)

NOTE C - CASH & INVESTMENTS - CREDIT RISK

Investments consisted of interest bearing cash and investment accounts and were in accordance with State of Michigan statutes. Those statutes do not mandate that accounts be partially or fully insured or collateralized. However, the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) requires that all funds be fully collateralized in excess of the insured amount. The Commission's investments were fully collateralized at March 31, 2004.

Cash and investments are classified as to credit risk by the following three categories.

- Category 1 Insured or registered, or securities held by the Commission or its agent in the Commission's name.
- Category 2 Uninsured and unregistered, with securities held by the counterparty's trust department.
- Category 3 Uninsured and unregistered, with securities held by the counterparty, or by it's trust department or agent but not in the Commission's name.

The Commission's cash and investments are categorized as follows:

	Category					Ba	nk	N	Iarket
		_1		2 3	_	Bal	ance	_	<u>Value</u>
Petty Cash	\$	150	\$	- \$	_	\$	-	\$	150
Cash and Equivalents	5	87,948		-	-	611,	881		587,948
Certificates of Deposit	<u>5</u>	<u>88,922</u>				<u>588,</u>	<u>922</u>	_	588,922
	\$ <u>1,1</u>	<u>77,020</u>	\$_	<u>-</u> \$		\$ <u>1,200,</u>	803	_\$ 1	1,177,020

NOTE D - FIXED ASSETS

	Е	Balance			Balance
		1-1-03	<u>Additions</u>	Deletions	3-31-04
Land	\$	460,439	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 460,439
Land improvements		2,424,020	21,874	-	2,445,894
Building & improvements	1	1,750,168	499,714	-	12,249,882
Equipment		440,140	41,279	(40,201)	441,218
Construction in progress		38,510	457,887	(477,889)	18,508
	\$ <u>1</u>	<u>5,113,277</u>	\$ <u>1,020,754</u>	\$ <u>(518,090</u>)	\$ <u>15,615,941</u>

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

March 31, 2004 (Continued)

NOTE E - USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE F- DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN

The City of Sault Ste. Marie participates in a statewide government agent multiple-employer public pension plan which covers substantially all employees, including employees of the Economic Development Corporation. The City also maintains a single-employer, defined benefit pension plan which covers substantially all Police and Fire employees.

Plan Description

The City contributes to the Michigan Municipal Employees Retirement system, an agent multiple employer public employee retirement system that acts as a common investment and administrative agent for units of local government in Michigan. The system provides the following provisions: normal retirement, deferred retirement and service retirement to plan members and their beneficiaries. All full-time employees become a member of the System on the first day of employment, and are completely vested after 10 years of service (6 years for general non-union). Service retirement allowances are based upon percentages ranging from 1 to 2.5 percent of 3 to 5-year final average compensation depending on benefit program selected, social security coverage, etc. The most recent period of which actuarial data was available was for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001.

MERS was organized pursuant to Section 12a of Act #156, Public Acts of 1851 (MSA 5.333 (a); MCLA 46.12 (a), as amended, State of Michigan. MERS is regulated under Act No. 427 of Public Acts of 1984, sections of which have been approved by the State Pension Commission. MERS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the system. That report may be obtained by writing to the MERS at 447 North Canal Street, Lansing, Michigan 48917-9755.

Funding Policy

The obligation to contribute to and maintain the system for these employees was established by negotiation with the City's collective bargaining unit and personnel policy, which requires employees to contribute to the plan. The City is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

March 31, 2004 (Continued)

NOTE F- DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (Continued)

The contribution rate as a percentage of payroll at December 31, 2001 is as follows:

General – Public Works 3.65% General – Non-Union 3.99% General – Clerical 6.91%

Annual Pension Cost

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002, the City's contributions totaling \$95,993 were made in accordance with contribution requirement determined by an actuarial valuation of the plan as of December 31, 2001. The employer contribution rate has been determined based on the entry age normal funding method. Under the entry age normal cost funding method, the total employer contribution is comprised of the normal cost plus the level annual percentage of payroll payment required to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability over 30 years. The employer normal cost is, for each employee, the level percentage of payroll payment required to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability over 30 years. The employer normal cost is, for each employee, the level of percentage of payroll contribution (from entry age to retirement) required to accumulate sufficient assets at the members retirement to pay for this projected benefit. Significant actuarial assumption used include a long-term investment yield rate of 8 percent and annual salary increases of 4.5 percent based on an age-related scale to reflect merit, longevity, and promotional salary increases.

GASB 25 INFORMATION (AS OF 12/31/01)

Actuarial Accrued Liability	
Retirees and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	\$ 5,479,882
Terminated employees not receiving benefits	193,725
Current employees:	,
Accumulated employee contributions including	
allocated investment income	2,156,270
Employer financed	_5,009,174
Total Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$12,839,051
Net Assets Available for Benefits at Actuarial Value	
(Market value is \$15,509,244)	<u>13,781,949</u>
Unfunded (Overfunded) Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$ <u>(942,898)</u>

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

March 31, 2004 (Continued)

NOTE F- DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (Continued)

GASB 27 INFORMATION (AS OF 12/31/01)

Fiscal Year Beginning Annual Required Contribution (ARC) Amortization Factor Used

July 1, 2001 \$151,096 0.060208

Three-Year Schedule of Funding Progress

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Accrued Actuarial Liability (AAL) Entry Age(b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (a-b)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll [(b-a)/c]
12/31/96	\$11,595,394	\$11,375,476	(219,918)	102	\$3,254,530	0
12/31/97	12,586,970	12,141,035	(445,935)	104	3,280,115	
12/31/98	13,781,949	12,839,051	(942,898)	107	3,367,527	

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Enterprise Fund Financial Data Schedule

March 31, 2004

TOTAL	\$ 588,098	588,098	20,217 17,384 18,857 (15,084)	43,001	588,922 11,995 20,965 1,252,981	
Public Housing Capital Fund Program	٠ ا	1	13,954	13,954	13,954	
Housing Choice Vouchers	\$ 25,089	25,089	6,263	6,263	31,352	
Low Rent Public Housing	\$ 549,479	549,479	18,857 (15,084) 1,627	5,400	588,922 11,995 20,965 1,176,761	
Business Activities	\$ 13,530	13,530	17,384	17,384	30,914	
Account Description	ASSETS: CURRENT ASSETS: Cash: Cash - unrestricted	Total cash	Accounts and notes receivables: Accounts receivable- HUD Other Projects Accounts receivable- Other government Accounts receivable- tenants - dwelling rents Allowance for doubtful accounts - dwelling rents Accrued interest receivable	Total receivables, net of allowances for doubtful accounts	Current investments 13.1 Investments - unrestricted 14.2 Prepaid expenses and other assets 14.4 Interprogram due from 15.0 TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	
Line Item#	A 111	100	122 124 126 126.1 129	120	131 142 144 150	

NONCURRENT ASSETS:

See accompanying notes to financial statements

Enterprise Fund Financial Data Schedule

March 31, 2004

TOTAL	460,439	12,249,882	185,223	255,996	2,445,893	(9,003,766)	18,508	6,612,175	6,612,175	\$ 7,865,156
Public Housing Capital Fund Program	ı	458,816	•	•	19,073	(11,722)	18,508	484,675	484,675	\$ 498,629
Housing Choice Vouchers	1	,	1	11,035	•	(1,822)	1	9,213	9,213	\$ 40,565
Low Rent Public Housing	460,439	11,791,066	185,223	244,961	2,426,820	(8,990,222)	1	6,118,287	6,118,287	\$ 7,295,048
Business Activities	ı	1	•	1	•	1	1	1	4	\$ 30,914
Account Description	Fixed assets: Land	Buildings	Furniture, equipment & machinery - dwelling	Furniture, equipment & machinery - administration	Leasehold improvements	Accumulated depreciation	Construction-in-progress	Total fixed assets, net of accumulated depreciation	180 TOTAL NONCURRENT ASSETS	190 TOTAL ASSETS
Line Item#	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	160	180	190 TC

Enterprise Fund Financial Data Schedule

March 31, 2004

TOTAL		\$ 30,842	26,051	9,940	34,331	65,182	18,645	20,965	205,956	26,634	26,634	232,590
Public Housing Capital Fund Program		· 69	Į.	•	•	•	•	13,954	13,954	1	1	13,954
Housing Choice Vouchers		\$ 1,421	•	526	•	ı	•	1,295	3,242	1,154	1,154	4,396
Low Rent Public Housing		\$ 29,271	26,051	9,414	34,331	65,182	18,645	1	182,894	25,480	25,480	208,374
Business Activities		\$ 150	i	•	•	•	ı	5,716	5,866	'		5,866
Account Description	LIABILITIES AND EQUITY: LIABILITIES: CURRENT LIABILITIES	Accounts payable ≤ 90 days	Accrued wages/payroll taxes payable	Accrued compensated absences - current portion	Accounts payable - other government	Tenant security deposits	Deferred revenues	Interprogram due to	310 TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	354 Accrued compensated absences - non current	350 TOTAL NONCURRENT LIABILITIES	300 TOTAL LIABILITIES
Line Item#	II II O		321		333	341	342	347	310 T	354 A	350 T	300 T

Enterprise Fund Financial Data Schedule

March 31, 2004

TOTAL		5,259,619	5,288,586	2,343,980	7,632,566	\$ 7,865,156
Public Housing Capital Fund Program		1 1	•	484,675	484,675	\$ 498,629
Housing Choice Vouchers			ı	36,169	36,169	\$ 40,565
Low Rent Public Housing		5,259,619	5,288,586	1,798,088	7,086,674	\$ 7,295,048
Business Activities		1 1	ı	25,048	25,048	\$ 30,914
Account Description	BQUITY:	Contributed Capital: Net HUD PHA contributions Other contributions	508 Total contributed capital Reserved find balance.	512 Undesignated fund balance/retained earnings	513 TOTAL EQUITY	600 TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY
Line Item#		504	508	512	513	009

See accompanying notes to financial statements

Enterprise Fund Financial Data Schedule

See accompanying notes to financial statements

Enterprise Fund Financial Data Schedule

Account Description Tenant services		Business Activities	Low Rent Public Housing	Housing Choice Vouchers	Public Housing Capital Fund Program	TOTAL
Tenant services - salaries Tenant services - employee benefit contributions Tenant services - other	outions	6,965	4,129 1,773 963	1 1 1	1 1 1	20,646 8,738 963
	I	704,67	0,000			140,00
		1 1	85,339		1 1	85,339
	•	1	117,195		ı	117,195
		1	223,447	1	1	223,447
Ordinary maintenance and operations - labor	oor	1	129,544	1	•	129,544
ions - ma	Ordinary maintenance and operations - materials & other	1,629	45,647	•	1	47,276
ions - co	Ordinary maintenance and operations - contract costs	1	91,055	•	ı	91,055
ordinary	Employee benefit contributions- ordinary maintenance	•	43,607	•	•	43,607
	.	1,629	309,853	•	1	311,482

See accompanying notes to financial statements

Enterprise Fund Financial Data Schedule

TOTAL		51,072 34,331 14,916 100,319	995,952	804,151	26,883 250 154,901 591,344	1,769,330
Public Housing Capital Fund Program			34,232	455,821	11,722	45,954
Housing Choice Vouchers			22,442	132,733	- 130,897 827	154,166
Low Rent Public Housing		51,072 34,331 14,916 100,319	889,733	167,066	26,883 250 - 578,795	1,495,661
Business Activities			49,545	48,531	24,004	73,549
Account Description	General expenses	Insurance premiums Payments in lieu of taxes Bad debts - tenant rents Total General Expenses	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	EXCESS OPERATING REVENUE OVER OPERATING EXPENSES	Extraordinary maintenance Casualty loss - non capitalized Housing assistance payments Depreciation expense	900 TOTAL EXPENSES
Line Item#		961 963 964	696	970	971 972 973 974	900 TO

See accompanying notes to financial statements

Enterprise Fund Financial Data Schedule

		Business	Low Rent	Housing Chaice	Public Housing	
Line Item #	# Account Description	Activities	Housing	Vouchers	Capitai rund Program	TOTAL
1010 T	1010 TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	t	•	•	,	1
1000 E	1000 EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF TOTAL REVENUE OVER					
	(UNDER) TOTAL EXPENSES	\$ 24,527	\$ (438,862)	\$ 1,009	\$ 444,099	\$ 30,773
MEMO ac	MEMO account information					
1103	Beginning equity	521	6,715,645	34,604	850,467	7,601,237
1104	Prior Period Adjustments, Equity Transfers	ı	809,891	556	(809,891)	556
1112	Depreciation "add back"	•	447,620	•	1	447,620
1113	Maximum Annual Contributions Commitment (Per ACC)	ľ	•	152,908	1	152,908
1115	Contingency Reserve, ACC Program Reserve	1		5,444	1	5,444
1116	Total Annual Contributions Available	•	ı	158,352	•	158,352
1120	Unit months available	•	3,127	009	•	3,727
1121	Number of unit months leased	ı	3,108	588	ı	3,696

SAULT STE MARIE HOUSING COMMISSION FEDERAL AUDIT REPORTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with "Government Auditing Standards"	27
Report on Compliance with Specific Requirements Applicable to Each Major HUD Programs and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	28
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	30
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	31
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	32

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH "GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS"

Board of Commissioners Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission Sault Ste Marie, Michigan

We have audited the financial statements of the Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission as of and for the year ended March 31, 2004, and have issued our report thereon dated June 22, 2004. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in "Government Auditing Standards", issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission's general purpose financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under "Government Auditing Standards".

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the general purpose financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended solely for the information of the Board of Commissioners, management and the Department of Housing and Urban Development and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

ANDERSON, TACKMAN & COMPANY, P.L.C. Certified Public Accountants

Iron Mountain, Michigan June 22, 2004

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO MAJOR HUD PROGRAMS AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Board of Commissioners
Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission
Sault Ste Marie, Michigan

Compliance

We have audited the financial statements of Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission as of and for the year ended March 31, 2004 and have issued our report thereon dated June 22, 2004.

We have also audited Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission's compliance with the specific program requirements governing; activities allowed or un-allowed, allowable costs, cash management, Davis-Bacon act, period of availability of federal funds, procurement and suspension and debarment and reporting, that are applicable to each of its major HUD-assisted programs for the year ended March 31, 2004. The management of Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission is responsible for the compliance with those requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance with those requirements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance with those requirements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in "Government Auditing Standards", issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; OMB Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations"; and the provisions of the Public and Indian Housing Compliance Supplement dated May 25, 1995 and extended by PIH Notice 96-32. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the requirements referred to above occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis evidence about the Authority"s compliance with those requirements. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission complied, in all material respects, with the requirements described above that are applicable to each of its HUD-assisted programs for the year ended March 31, 2004.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations,

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO MAJOR HUD PROGRAMS AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133 (Continued)

contracts and grants, that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended for the information of the Board of Commissioners, management, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, and other Federal audit agencies and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

ANDERSON, TACKMAN & COMPANY, PLC Certified Public Accountants

Iron Mountain, Michigan June 22, 2004

Year End: March 31, 2004

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

Federal Grantor/Pass Through Grantor	Federal CFDA <u>Number</u>	Federal Expenditures
Operating Subsidy	14.850	\$ 369,452
Section 8 – Vouchers	14.871	155,004
Capital Funding	14.872	490,053
Total Department of Housing and Urban Development		<u>\$1,014,509</u>
TOTAL FEDERAL EXPENDITURES		<u>\$1,014,509</u>

See accompanying notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF FEDERAL FINANCIAL AWARDS

For the Year Ended March 31, 2004

NOTE A - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of Sault Ste. Marie Housing Commission and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*.

NOTE B - COST REPORTS

Management has reported the expenditures in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards equal to those amounts reported in the modernization cost reports.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

For the Year Ended March 31, 2004

A. SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

- 1. The auditors' report expresses an unqualified opinion of the general purpose financial statements of the Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission.
- 2. There were no reportable conditions relating to the audit of the general purpose financial statements.
- 3. There were no instances of noncompliance material to the general purpose financial statements of the Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission.
- 4. There were no reportable conditions relating to the audit of the major federal award programs as reported in the Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133.
- 5. The auditors' report on compliance for the major federal award programs for the Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission expresses an unqualified opinion.
- 6. There were no audit findings relative to the major federal award programs for the Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission.
- 7. The programs tested as major programs included:
 - A. Capital Fund CFDA 14.872
- 8. The threshold for distinguishing Types A and B programs was \$300,000.
- 9. Sault Ste Marie Housing Commission was determined to be a low-risk auditee.
- B. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT
 - 1. There were no findings or questioned costs.
- C. PRIOR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT
 - 1. There were no prior audit findings or questioned costs.